

Thurrock: A place of opportunity, enterprise and excellence, where individuals, communities and businesses flourish

Cleaner, Greener and Safer Overview and Scrutiny Committee

The meeting will be held at **7.00 pm** on **11 May 2017**

Committee Room 2, Civic Offices, New Road, Grays, Essex, RM17 6SL.

Membership:

Councillors Oliver Gerrish (Chair), Russell Cherry (Vice-Chair), Gary Collins, Roy Jones, Terry Piccolo and Michael Stone

Substitutes:

Councillors John Allen, Garry Hague, Ben Maney, David Potter and Gerard Rice

Agenda

Open to Public and Press

	Page
1. Apologies for Absence	
2. Minutes	5 - 8
To approve as a correct record the minutes of the Cleaner, Greener and Safer Overview and Scrutiny Committee meeting held on 9 February 2017.	
3. Items of Urgent Business	
To receive additional items that the Chair is of the opinion should be considered as a matter of urgency, in accordance with Section 100B (4) (b) of the Local Government Act 1972.	
4. Declaration of Interests	
5. Contracted Environmental Enforcement Services	9 - 20

Queries regarding this Agenda or notification of apologies:

Please contact Lottie Raper, Senior Democratic Services Officer by sending an email to Direct.Democracy@thurrock.gov.uk

Agenda published on: **3 May 2017**

Information for members of the public and councillors

Access to Information and Meetings

Members of the public can attend all meetings of the council and its committees and have the right to see the agenda, which will be published no later than 5 working days before the meeting, and minutes once they are published.

Recording of meetings

This meeting may be recorded for transmission and publication on the Council's website. At the start of the meeting the Chair will confirm if all or part of the meeting is to be recorded.

Members of the public not wishing any speech or address to be recorded for publication to the Internet should contact Democratic Services to discuss any concerns.

If you have any queries regarding this, please contact Democratic Services at Direct.Democracy@thurrock.gov.uk

Guidelines on filming, photography, recording and use of social media at council and committee meetings

The council welcomes the filming, photography, recording and use of social media at council and committee meetings as a means of reporting on its proceedings because it helps to make the council more transparent and accountable to its local communities.

If you wish to film or photograph the proceedings of a meeting and have any special requirements or are intending to bring in large equipment please contact the Communications Team at CommunicationsTeam@thurrock.gov.uk before the meeting. The Chair of the meeting will then be consulted and their agreement sought to any specific request made.

Where members of the public use a laptop, tablet device, smart phone or similar devices to use social media, make recordings or take photographs these devices must be set to 'silent' mode to avoid interrupting proceedings of the council or committee.

The use of flash photography or additional lighting may be allowed provided it has been discussed prior to the meeting and agreement reached to ensure that it will not disrupt proceedings.

The Chair of the meeting may terminate or suspend filming, photography, recording and use of social media if any of these activities, in their opinion, are disrupting proceedings at the meeting.

Thurrock Council Wi-Fi

Wi-Fi is available throughout the Civic Offices. You can access Wi-Fi on your device by simply turning on the Wi-Fi on your laptop, Smartphone or tablet.

- You should connect to TBC-CIVIC
- Enter the password **Thurrock** to connect to/join the Wi-Fi network.
- A Terms & Conditions page should appear and you have to accept these before you can begin using Wi-Fi. Some devices require you to access your browser to bring up the Terms & Conditions page, which you must accept.

The ICT department can offer support for council owned devices only.

Evacuation Procedures

In the case of an emergency, you should evacuate the building using the nearest available exit and congregate at the assembly point at Kings Walk.

How to view this agenda on a tablet device



You can view the agenda on your [iPad](#), [Android Device](#) or [Blackberry Playbook](#) with the free modern.gov app.

Members of the Council should ensure that their device is sufficiently charged, although a limited number of charging points will be available in Members Services.

To view any “exempt” information that may be included on the agenda for this meeting, Councillors should:

- Access the modern.gov app
- Enter your username and password

DECLARING INTERESTS FLOWCHART – QUESTIONS TO ASK YOURSELF

Breaching those parts identified as a pecuniary interest is potentially a criminal offence

Helpful Reminders for Members

- *Is your register of interests up to date?*
- *In particular have you declared to the Monitoring Officer all disclosable pecuniary interests?*
- *Have you checked the register to ensure that they have been recorded correctly?*

When should you declare an interest *at a meeting*?

- **What matters are being discussed at the meeting?** (including Council, Cabinet, Committees, Subs, Joint Committees and Joint Subs); or
- If you are a Cabinet Member making decisions other than in Cabinet **what matter is before you for single member decision?**



Does the business to be transacted at the meeting

- relate to; or
- likely to affect

any of your registered interests and in particular any of your Disclosable Pecuniary Interests?

Disclosable Pecuniary Interests shall include your interests or those of:

- your spouse or civil partner's
- a person you are living with as husband/ wife
- a person you are living with as if you were civil partners

where you are aware that this other person has the interest.

A detailed description of a disclosable pecuniary interest is included in the Members Code of Conduct at Chapter 7 of the Constitution. **Please seek advice from the Monitoring Officer about disclosable pecuniary interests.**

What is a Non-Pecuniary interest? – this is an interest which is not pecuniary (as defined) but is nonetheless so significant that a member of the public with knowledge of the relevant facts, would reasonably regard to be so significant that it would materially impact upon your judgement of the public interest.

Pecuniary

If the interest is not already in the register you must (unless the interest has been agreed by the Monitoring Officer to be sensitive) disclose the existence and nature of the interest to the meeting

If the Interest is not entered in the register and is not the subject of a pending notification you must within 28 days notify the Monitoring Officer of the interest for inclusion in the register

Unless you have received dispensation upon previous application from the Monitoring Officer, you must:

- Not participate or participate further in any discussion of the matter at a meeting;
- Not participate in any vote or further vote taken at the meeting; and
- leave the room while the item is being considered/voted upon

If you are a Cabinet Member you may make arrangements for the matter to be dealt with by a third person but take no further steps

Non- pecuniary

Declare the nature and extent of your interest including enough detail to allow a member of the public to understand its nature



You may participate and vote in the usual way but you should seek advice on Predetermination and Bias from the Monitoring Officer.

Vision: Thurrock: A place of **opportunity, enterprise and excellence**, where **individuals, communities and businesses** flourish.

To achieve our vision, we have identified five strategic priorities:

1. Create a great place for learning and opportunity

- Ensure that every place of learning is rated “Good” or better
- Raise levels of aspiration and attainment so that residents can take advantage of local job opportunities
- Support families to give children the best possible start in life

2. Encourage and promote job creation and economic prosperity

- Promote Thurrock and encourage inward investment to enable and sustain growth
- Support business and develop the local skilled workforce they require
- Work with partners to secure improved infrastructure and built environment

3. Build pride, responsibility and respect

- Create welcoming, safe, and resilient communities which value fairness
- Work in partnership with communities to help them take responsibility for shaping their quality of life
- Empower residents through choice and independence to improve their health and well-being

4. Improve health and well-being

- Ensure people stay healthy longer, adding years to life and life to years
- Reduce inequalities in health and well-being and safeguard the most vulnerable people with timely intervention and care accessed closer to home
- Enhance quality of life through improved housing, employment and opportunity

5. Promote and protect our clean and green environment

- Enhance access to Thurrock's river frontage, cultural assets and leisure opportunities
- Promote Thurrock's natural environment and biodiversity
- Inspire high quality design and standards in our buildings and public space

Minutes of the Meeting of the Cleaner, Greener and Safer Overview and Scrutiny Committee held on 9 February 2017 at 7.00 pm

Present: Councillors Oliver Gerrish (Chair), Russell Cherry (Vice-Chair), Gary Collins, Roy Jones and Terry Piccolo

Apologies: Councillors Michael Stone

In attendance: Julie Rogers, Head of Environment and Chair of Thurrock CSP
Charlotte Raper, Senior Democratic Services Officer

Before the start of the Meeting, all present were advised that the meeting may be filmed and was being recorded, with the audio recording to be made available on the Council's website.

29. Minutes

The Chair commented upon the Grays Beach Report. Councillor Jones had asked whether measures had been put in place to avoid similar problems in future and Members had been assured the Council would monitor the situation moving forward. In light of recent events in Purfleet the Chair considered it to be appropriate that officers followed up with Anglian Water and continued the conversation regarding whether adequate measures were in place across the Borough.

The minutes of the Cleaner, Greener and Safer Overview and Scrutiny Committee meeting held on 6 December 2016 were approved as a correct record.

30. Items of Urgent Business

There were no items of urgent business.

31. Declaration of Interests

Councillor Piccolo declared a non-pecuniary interest in respect of Item 5 – Update on Community Safety Partnership Hubs as he was a representative for the voluntary sector on the Community Safety Partnership

32. Update on community safety partnership hubs

The Head of Environment presented the report which had been requested by the Committee following the pilot of a community safety hub. The report highlighted the strength of collaborative working currently undergone by the partnership, but outlined the benefits of a co-located hub.

The Chair thanked the Head of Environment for presenting the report and welcomed the move to a co-located hub as a principle as it would lead to increased communication, better decision making and the ability to achieve more across the groups involved. He asked for assurance that the move was not about taking anything away from the service. Members were assured that bringing the partnership together in one location would mean increased efficiency and the ability to respond more quickly as things occurred.

Councillor Jones agreed that the change was needed. He asked whether a location had already been identified, and for elaboration on the phrase “costs being negotiated”. The report alluded to 8 desks which had been identified within the Civic Centre. When attempting to bring all the relevant parties together there would be cost implications, particularly as the Police required certain infrastructure, although it was thought they would be relatively small. It could be that each of the partners would contribute towards what they required, but the Head of Environment would clarify outside of the meeting.

Councillor Collins expressed his appreciation for the work of the Community Safety Partnership Hub and echoed previous sentiments that a co-location would allow more effective and more rapid working. He asked whether there was any idea of a date when the proposal would be fully operational. A date was not yet available; the report sought a steer from the Committee as to whether they supported the change. Councillor Collins asked, and the Head of Environment confirmed that it was now a matter of logistics more than overcoming problems.

Councillor Piccolo sought clarification on the wording of recommendation 1.2. The Chair interjected that, following a previous discussion, the recommendation should be read that officers would progress plans with the Committee happy to approve them, with reference to an update to the Committee as the plan progressed.

Councillor Piccolo requested figures regarding paragraph 2.4 of the report, and also the figures for quarter 3 so Members could make a comparative assessment. He noted that, in reference to paragraph 2.5 of the report, the fortnightly meetings were never attended by representatives from organisations such as Neighbourhood Watch and proposed they be invited from time to time to give an insight into residents’ perception of issues, regardless of the statistical data.

RESOLVED:

- 1) That the Committee support the concept of a co-located community safety partnership hub.**
- 2) That the Committee work with Essex Police command team to agree a mutually suitable agreement.**

The Chair reminded Members that this was the last scheduled meeting of the Cleaner, Greener and Safer Overview and Scrutiny Committee for the municipal

year and as such there was no work programme item listed on the agenda. He outlined that there may be a need to request an additional meeting before the end of the year. He asked whether Members would agree, in principle. The Committee agreed to an additional meeting before the end of the municipal year.

Members were invited to suggest issues either for the additional meeting or as a potential framework for next year's Committee. The Chair requested an update on the "Clean it, Cut it, Fill it" campaign, particularly the statistics around levels of litter and detritus. He also asked for an update to Environmental Enforcement.

The Vice-Chair asked for additional information regarding the work of volunteer groups within the borough, to ensure that as much as possible was being done to keep the borough clean without additional costs to the Council.

Councillor Piccolo agreed and added that it would not go amiss to recognise the contributions of volunteer groups. He asked whether there were any plans to follow up the "Bin it" campaign with a more targeted strategy along the waterfronts, following the presentation by the River Thames Society and Thames21 at the previous meeting.

Members discussed the British Spring Clean event which would be running from 3 – 5 March 2017. The event was community driven with support from the Environment Team. Residents were asked to register if they wished to take part so that the Council could provide litter pickers, waste sacks and PPE where necessary. The Environment Team would also collect all the filled sacks and consideration was being given to staff activities during the period.. In terms of additional publicity the event was being promoted on the Council's website and there had been several press releases, local organisations and community forums were also being contacted to publicise the event further and there were plans to update people on locations so that they could take place if they decided on the day. Councillor Piccolo noted that the British Spring Clean event was to take place a week after the "Love Grays" launch event and suggested that might be a positive opportunity to promote the event to local residents.

The meeting finished at 7.25 pm

Approved as a true and correct record

CHAIR

DATE

**Any queries regarding these Minutes, please contact
Democratic Services at Direct.Democracy@thurrock.gov.uk**

This page is intentionally left blank

11 May 2017	ITEM: 5
Cleaner Greener Overview & Scrutiny Committee	
Contracted Environmental Enforcement Services	
Wards and communities affected: All	Key Decision: Yes
Report of: Julie Rogers, Head of Environmental Services	
Accountable Head of Service: Julie Rogers, Head of Environmental Services	
Accountable Director: Steve Cox, Corporate Director Environment & Place	
This report is Public	

Executive Summary

In December 2016 the Council entered into a pilot project to determine the viability of contracted Environmental Enforcement Services. The Council has a small internal team of Environmental Enforcement Officers who respond to a large number of service requests each year, the volume of service requests is such that a reactive service model only resolving priority cases, has been put in place. The objective of the pilot project was to determine whether a contracted enforcement service could offer a proactive service offering littering and dog fouling enforcement on a cost neutral basis. This report provides an update on the performance of the pilot to date and requests permission to progress the report's recommendations to Cabinet. Feedback from the pilot has been taken into account to request amendments to the range of services, level of fines levied and the publication of successful prosecutions.

1. Recommendation(s)

The committee is asked to make the following recommendations to Cabinet:

- 1.1 To delegate authority for the tender and subsequent award of a contract for enforcement services on a payment by results basis to the Corporate Director of Environment and Place in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Environment for a period of up to 4 years, to the aggregate value of approximately £960,000.**
- 1.2 To remove early repayment discounts for fixed penalty notices issued for environmental crime offences as detailed in 4.3.**
- 1.3 To set the value of fixed penalty notices to the maximum permissible amount for environmental crime offences as detailed in 4.3.**

- 1.4 **To set the minimum age that formal enforcement action, including Fixed Penalty Notices, will be taken for offences to 16 years of age as detailed in 4.2.**
- 1.5 **To publicise the successful prosecution of those who are prosecuted for committing environmental crime in the borough and to use media outlets to appeal for information pertaining to the identity of those persons committing environmental crime where identities are unknown.**
- 1.6 **To approve the pursuit of and adoption of delegated authority from the DVLA for the removal, impounding and potential destruction of untaxed vehicles in line within the provisions of the vehicle excise duty (immobilisation, removal and disposal of vehicles) regulations 1997 (as amended).**

2. Introduction and Background

- 2.1 The cleanliness of the environment has a significant impact on the quality of life, enjoyment and perception of those who live, visit and work in the borough. The Council has committed to taking a zero tolerance approach to those who commit environmental crime in the borough with formal enforcement action being taken where appropriate.
- 2.2 Legislation provides the Council with the powers to take formal enforcement action including the issue of fixed penalty notices (FPN's) and prosecution of those who commit environmental crime. The Council has a small internal Environmental Enforcement Team consisting of two officers that are responsible for responding and taking appropriate action for the 5,000 service requests received each year. The size of the team restricts activity to being a purely reactive service, prioritising larger complex casework. In order to deliver a highly effective service to compliment the existing in house service there is a need for a proactive enforcement solution resolving simple high volume cases.
- 2.3 In order to deliver this resource in December 2016 the Council entered into a pilot project to determine the viability of contracting external enforcement resources to support the proactive enforcement of environmental offences. The scope of the pilot project was initially limited to Littering and Dog Fouling Offences was recently extended with the enforcement of the Grays Town Centre Public Space Protection Order (PSPO) which came on line in April 2017.

- 2.4 In the pilot period to date 07/12/2016 to 31/03/2017 there have been 1,003 FPNs issued. The breakdown of statistics relating to the pilot performance to date is as follows:

Number of FPNs Issued	1,003
Number of FPNs Paid	635
FPN Payment Rate	64%
Income from FPNs	£47,600
Cost of issuing FPNs	£42,000
Net Position of Pilot	(£5,600)
Number of FPN being evaluated for prosecution	155
Number of FPN's in the administration process	213

At present the pilot is reporting a small financial surplus, in the first instance any surplus will be used to fund the prosecution of non-payers with any remaining income supporting additional enforcement activity. The initial objective of the Council's pilot was to deploy enforcement officers on the ground to enforce against those committing environmental crime and to dissuade others from committing environmental offences on a cost neutral basis, income is not a priority. Prevention is a priority; a reduction in the number of environmental crimes committed will reduce the burden on cleansing and wider environmental services as well as improving the appearance of the borough.

- 2.5 The current payment rate at 64% is resulting in a positive financial position. Should the payment rate drop below 60% this will result in a net cost to the authority. To prevent a drop in payments this Council is committed to prosecuting non payers and publicising those cases that result in a successful prosecution against offenders. As a first tranche the Council has passed 15 cases of non-payment for prosecution, these are scheduled to be heard in May. The intention is to pass all appropriate cases for prosecution to Magistrate's court. As cases are tried and successful prosecutions will then be publicised with an expectation that payment rates will subsequently increase. This position represents best practice as identified in the Governments new 2017 Littering Strategy for England *'Promote transparency and accurate reporting of enforcement action against littering, so that offenders know they will be fined for environmental offences'*¹

3. Issues, Options and Analysis of Options

3.1 Option 1: Do Nothing

The current in-house environmental enforcement service consists of two officers. The level of environmental crime in the borough including the increase in large scale fly-tipping means that the service, as-is, is only

¹ HM Government; Litter Strategy for England April 2017, page 48.

resourced to deliver a reactive service prioritising the most high profile cases. There is a requirement to deliver a proactive enforcement offer dealing with simple high volume environmental offences such as littering and dog fouling. To do nothing would represent a step backwards with an increase in levels of litter in the borough and the perception of the Council being weak on Environmental Crime, leading to an escalation in the number of more serious offences. The pilot as-is has been delivered on a cost neutral basis and with the issue of over a 1000 FPNs in the first 4 months has proven to be a success with a visible impact on the local environment.

3.2 Option 2: Deliver an in-house proactive high volume Enforcement Service

The estimated cost of delivering an in-house comparative service per annum is £210,000 per year.

Employees	£187,000
PPE	£5,000
Transport	£8,000
Supplies and Services	£10,000
	£210,000

In order to achieve a financial break even position, based on the current payment rate of 64% the number of FPNs that the service would need to issue is 4,400 per year. Although potentially achievable this represents a significant financial risk to the authority. The payment by results model trialled in the pilot represents minimal risk to the Authority. In addition, investment in handheld systems and sophisticated automated systems would also be required. The Council could take steps to specify procure and implement comparable software however this would come at a time and financial cost, not included in the calculation above. A suitable ICT solution is unlikely to be in place by the end of the Pilot in December.

3.3 Option 3: Procure a Contracted Environmental Enforcement Service on a payment by results basis. ***The recommended option***

With over a 1,000 FPN's issued in the first 4 months the contracted Environmental Enforcement Pilot has proven to be a success in enforcing against those who commit environmental crime. The pilot has been delivered on a cost neutral basis and has demonstrated that, providing that it is closely managed, it can deliver results at minimal financial risk to the Council.

It is recommended that the Council enters into a formal EU compliant process to procure a contracted Environmental Enforcement Service on a payment by results basis similar to that employed for the pilot. The service will invite bids from experienced suitably qualified contractors for the provision of high volume simple enforcement functions.

The contract is intended as a complement to the existing in-house team who will continue to focus on resolving complex serious environmental offences.

The Council will invite bids for a range of simple enforcement functions such as fly posting and graffiti that complement the current littering and dog fouling enforcement, the proposed range of services is detailed in 5.1.

4. Proposed Contracted Environmental Enforcement Service

4.1 Scope of Contracted Environmental Enforcement Service

The proposed scope of the Contracted Environmental Enforcement Service is as follows:

Enforcement Activity	Description of Service
Littering	Pro active patrols across the borough enforcing against those committing littering offences.
Dog Fouling	Pro active patrols across the borough enforcing against those committing littering offences.
Fly Posting	Pro active patrols across the borough enforcing against those committing littering offences.
Graffiti	Pro active patrols across the borough enforcing against those committing littering offences.
PSPO Enforcement	Pro active patrols enforcing against those breaching the Grays Town Centre PSPO.
Commercial Waste Duty of Care Enforcement	Enforcement of duty of care compliance on a scheduled basis to support the in-house enforcement service.
Fly-tipping	Enforcement against fly tipping offenders on an ad-hoc basis to support the in-house enforcement service.
Other Environmental Offences	Enforcement in the case of Environmental Crime for offences in scope of the Environmental Protection Act 1990.

The contract will require the contractor to complete the end to end process for all enforcement actions including Fixed Penalty Notice issues, collecting payment, administering representations, issuing reminder notices and compiling prosecution files.

4.2 The Proposed age of FPN issue for those committing Littering and Dog Fouling offences.

At present officers deployed on the contracted Environmental Enforcement Service are not authorised to issue FPN's to those under 18. The pilot has made great strides to prevent littering in the areas where officers are deployed. Anecdotal feedback from Councillors and residents, as well as formal feedback in the Grays Town Centre PSPO consultation, suggests that the appearance of high footfall patrolled areas (Grays Town centre in particular) as having improved markedly.

There is however an ongoing issue surrounding littering by those between the ages of 16 and 18. This is a particular issue around educational establishments and transport hubs. In order to address this issue and provide

Officers with the tools to take formal action, it is recommended that the age that officers can issue FPN's for littering and dog fouling be reduced to 16 years of age. In line with the recently published litter strategy for England Officers are exploring the opportunities for alternatives to the issue of a FPN or Prosecution for offenders below 18.

Proposals for alternative sanctions such as education and litter picking will be explored with a proposal to be brought back to committee as best practice following the publishing of the Litter Strategy for England emerges. Consultation will be undertaken with South Essex College to explore the options for sanctions towards students issued with Fixed Penalty Notices.

4.3 Proposed charge for FPN's and the suspension of the early repayment discount for Fixed Penalty Notices relating to Environmental Crime as enforced by internal and contracted Environmental Enforcement Services.

The recommendation is that all FPN's for Environmental Crime and related offences are set at the maximum permissible level and that charges are increased as legislation is amended to uplift maximum permissible levels, for example the proposed increase in the Littering FPN. This will act as a deterrent to those who commit environmental crime, contribute towards the contracted enforcement service breaking even and will support the administrations zero tolerance approach to Environmental Crime.

Offence	Current FPN charge	Current early repayment FPN charge	Proposed FPN charge	Proposed early repayment FPN charge
Littering	£75	No discount	£80* Maximum Permissible	None.
Dog Fouling	£75	No discount	£80 Maximum Permissible	None.
Fly Posting	£75	£60	£80 Maximum Permissible	None.
Graffiti	£75	£60	£80 Maximum Permissible	None.
Fly Tipping	£400	No discount	£400 Maximum Permissible	None.
Commercial Waste Duty of Care	£300	£180	£300 Maximum Permissible	None.
Commercial Waste Receptacle	Not currently used.	Not currently used.	£110 Maximum Permissible	None.

Offences				
Domestic Waste Receptacle Offences	Not currently used.	Not currently used.	£80 Maximum Permissible	None.
Breach of Public Space Protection Order (PSPO)	£100	No discount	£100 Maximum Permissible	None.
Breach of CPN	£100	No discount	£100 Maximum Permissible	None.

*This will increase in line with the revised maximum penalty for littering of £150 that has been proposed in the Litter Strategy for England 2017. Due for implementation in 2017/18.

4.4 **Publicising information relating to those who are successfully prosecuted for committing Environmental Crime or to aid in obtaining identification of offenders.**

In order to prevent and dissuade potential offenders from committing environmental crime, the Council intend to publicise the outcome of successful prosecutions through the Councils communication channels and in the local press. By releasing the details of offenders and the crimes that they have committed the Council will demonstrate its commitment to taking action against offenders and reassure the local community that action is being taken against those who choose to spoil the Environment.

The Council are proposing the use of communication channels and local press outlets to obtain information that could lead to the identification of those committing environmental crime. In cases where the identity is unknown or where false details are given, information including pictures will be published in order to appeal for information pertaining to the identity of the offender. Section 29 of The Data Protection Act allows for 'data to be used for prevention and detection of crime, or, apprehension or prosecution of offenders' are exempt from the first data protection principle (principle 1 – data shall be processed fairly and lawfully).

The current payment rate of 64% is enough to break even and provide a small surplus however the authority should be aiming for a payment rate of 75% in line with DEFRA best practice. Key to achieving an improved payment rate will be to ensure that prosecutions take place in the event of non-payment and that successful prosecutions are communicated to the public.

4.5 Proposed Contract Value

The contract will be let in line with the payment by results method as trialled in the pilot project. Potential contractors will be required to enter a formal bid into the tender process detailing the amount that they will charge for the issue of fixed penalty notices. All income deriving from FPN payment and awarded to the Council as a result of the prosecution will be retained by the Council. To

date the pilot has demonstrated that this model is cost neutral. Based on the data gathered from the pilot, the proposed contract value per annum will over the 4 year period be £177,480. At a payment rate of 60% the expected income will be £200,400 resulting in a net income of £22,920 per annum.

Assumed Expenditure	
<i>Number of working days</i>	261
<i>Number of Issuing Officers</i>	4
Number of tickets issued per officer per day	4
Total number of tickets issued per day	16
Total Number of FPN's Issued per year	4176
Estimated contract cost per ticket	£42.50
<u>Contract Value PA</u>	= 4176*£42.50 = <u>£177,480</u>

Assumed Income	
Total Number of FPNs Issued per year	4176
Payment rate	60%
Number of FPN's Paid	2505
FPN Level	£80
<u>Contract Income PA</u>	= 2505*£80 = <u>£200,400</u>

The pilot was restricted to littering and dog fouling offences. This report is requesting an extension in the scope of activities offered as per 5.1 these services will be ancillary to the littering and dog fouling work and are reflected in the assumed figures as above. The value of a number of these FPN's is higher than that of the standard £80 for lower level offences. To allow for these additional services additional headroom of £50,000 will be built into the per annum contract value on the assumption that 100 higher value FPN's will be issued per year for fly-tipping or duty of care offences. The revised contract value is therefore estimated to be £240,000 or £960,000 over the 4 year lifespan of the contract.

5. Abandoned Vehicles

- 5.1 The Council has a duty to remove abandoned vehicles from the public highway and land in the open air. Vehicles identified as abandoned must meet

the abandoned vehicle criteria. That the vehicle is; only fit to be destroyed, that the vehicle has no number plates and is not taxed or where the owner cannot be found or fails to comply with a collection notice. In the last financial year 2016/17 the council received 1,800 abandoned vehicle reports.

- 5.2 In order to effect the removal, impounding and potential destruction of abandoned vehicles the Council employs a private contractor which has access to the specialist machinery and impounding facilities. At present the Council does not have a long term formal arrangement in place with the contractor and this means that each interaction is managed on an ad hoc basis. Taking into account the small size of the Environmental Enforcement Team and the large volumes of reported vehicles in the borough each year this is an unwieldy process. Additionally the lack of a formal contract means that service levels vary with limited defined guarantees around vehicle removals.
- 5.3 The cost to the Council of delivering the service is 0.5 FTE which equates to £17,500 PA. The contractors collecting and disposing of abandoned vehicles is cost neutral. The income received from vehicle disposal is offset by the cost of the removal itself as well as the destruction of burnt out vehicles that have no residual value. The value of the contract is such that it can be let using existing delegated authority, Officers intend to commence procurement of a formal abandoned vehicle contract in September 2017 with a new fit for purpose contract in place by December 2017.
- 5.4 The DVLA are responsible for enforcing the removal and potential destruction of untaxed vehicles that are not on the curtilage of a domestic property. The vehicle excise duty (immobilisation, removal and disposal of vehicles) regulations 1997 allow the Council to apply to the DVLA for delegated authority to enforce this function. The Council intend to apply to the DVLA to gain delegated authority to remove and impound or destroy as appropriate untaxed vehicles. This function would be overseen by officers with removal actions being directed by the Council contracted removal agent. Adoption of these delegated authority powers will ensure that untaxed vehicles are removed from the boroughs roads at a point at or before they fall into disrepair.

6. Reasons for Recommendation

- 6.1 Enforcing against those who commit environmental crime reduces offending rates and improves the appearance and standard of cleanliness of the borough. A cleaner borough contributes towards the public's pride in the borough and creates a positive perception of the area for investors and visitors.

7. Consultation (including Overview and Scrutiny, if applicable)

- 7.1 Cleaner Greener Overview and Scrutiny Committee, May 2017.

7.2 Community Safety Partnership, May 2017.

8. Impact on corporate policies, priorities, performance and community impact

8.1 This report supports the Councils priority to “Promote and Protect our Clean and Green Environment.

9. Implications

9.1 Financial

Implications verified by: **Carl Tomlinson**
Finance Manager

The Pilot Environmental Enforcement Project demonstrated that the service can be delivered on a cost neutral basis. The proposed four year contract is structured on a payment by results basis that should be cost neutral with the potential for a small amount of income that will be used to fund prosecutions and support related Environmental Enforcement Services. The measures proposed including setting fixed penalty notices at the maximum allowable amount should increase the likelihood of the service delivering on a cost neutral basis.

9.2 Legal

Implications verified by: **Dianne Woode**
(Solicitor – Contracts and Procurement)

Adam Rulewski
(Barrister - Litigation and Prosecutions)

This report is seeking approval from Cabinet to go out to tender and subsequently award a contract for the provision of environmental enforcement services. The proposed payment model for this contract shall be based on a payment by results basis, and the proposed contract period is four years.

The value of the contract is over the EU threshold of £164,176 for services contracts, which means that it falls squarely within the full scope of the Public Contract Regulations 2015 (the “2015 Regulations”) requirements, and as such a full and compliant EU procurement process must be followed.

Thurrock Council has the legislative power to take formal enforcement action against perpetrators of environmental crime; this includes the power to issue fixed penalty notices and commence formal prosecutions.

It is noted that the report refers to an intention by the Council to increase the amount payable of the fixed penalty notice for a range of the environmental crime offences. The Local Authority has the power to treat a fixed penalty as paid, if a lesser amount is paid within a specified period. However, there is no obligation on a Local Authority to offer a discounted payment period. The Local Authority may set the maximum amount to that prescribed in the relevant statutory provisions.

It is also noted that there is a proposal to lower the minimum age that formal enforcement action against an individual can be taken, to under the age of 18 years. The minimum age of criminal responsibility is 10 years old. FPNs may be issued to those aged 16 or above as proposed, however enforcement officers should use special procedures for offenders aged under 18 and work with both the youth offending team and childrens' services. Enforcement Officers should familiarise themselves with government guidance on these issues. The Local Authority should develop an enforcement strategy for dealing with juveniles if it does not already operate such a strategy.

In order to be able to legally remove and impound untaxed vehicles, as proposed in the report, the Council will need to obtain delegated authority from the DVLA, pursuant to The Vehicle Excise Duty (Immobilisation, Removal and Disposal of Vehicles) Regulations 1997. It is noted that some contact has already been established with the DVLA in that regard, and that progress is being made to effect this proposal.

In consideration of all of the points made above pertaining to the 2015 Regulations, there is sufficient reason for it to be deemed that the proposed procurement strategy shall comply with the 2015 Regulations, as well as the Council's Contract Rules, which sit within the Council's Constitution.

The report author and responsible directorate are advised to keep Legal Services fully informed at every stage of the proposed tender exercise. Legal Services are on hand and available to assist and answer any questions that may arise.

9.3 **Diversity and Equality**

Implications verified by: **Natalie Warren**
Community Development and Equalities
Manager

The proposed enforcement activity is an extension of the current pilot project. The zero tolerance approach taken will ensure that all offenders who commit offences will be penalised uniformly. Concessions are made for young people under 16 and those who are classified as vulnerable including those who suffer from relevant mental health conditions. A Community Equality Impact Assessment will inform any further actions required to ensure fair enforcement.

9.4 **Other implications** (where significant) – i.e. Staff, Health, Sustainability, Crime and Disorder)

The Contracted Enforcement Service will involve officers enforcing the Grays Town Centre Public Space Protection Order.

10. Background papers used in preparing the report

- Cabinet December 2016 ‘Environmental Enforcement’
<http://democracy.thurrock.gov.uk/documents/s10776/Environmental%20Enforcement.pdf>
- HM Government: Litter Strategy for England April 2016
https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/607747/litter-strategy-for- england-2017.pdf

11. Appendices to the report

- NA

Report Author:

Beau Stanford-Francis
Contracts & Business Development Manager
Environment and Place